





## Lipid Injectable Emulsions for Adults: Composition Comparison

	Soybean Oil <sup>1</sup> 100%	Soybean Oil <sup>2</sup> 100%	Soybean Oil <sup>3</sup> 20% Olive Oil 80%	Soybean Oil <sup>4</sup> 30° MCT 30% Olive Oil 25% Fish Oil 15%
	Fat Com	position (%, Me	an Values by W	/eight)
a-Linolenic (ω-3)	7.5	7.5	2.35	2.25
Eicosapentaenoic (EPA ω-3)	0	0	0	2.3
Docosahexaenoic (DHA ω-3)	0	0	0	2.3
Linoleic (ω-6)	53	53	17.9	17.5
Oleic (ω-9)	24.5	23.5	61.9	29
a-Tocopherol (mg/L) <sup>5</sup>	38	No Data	32	163-225
Phytosterols (mcg/mL)6	342-439	No Data	274-226	178-207

• The 4-oil lipid emulsion contains EPA and DHA

– ESPEN states, "Addition of EPA and DHA to lipid emulsions has demonstrable effects on cell membranes and inflammatory processes." (Grade B) $^9$ 

Intralipid [prescribing information]; 2. Nutrilipid [prescribing information]; 3. ClinOleic [prescribing information]; 4. SMOFlipid [prescribing information];
 Vanek VW, et al., *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2012;27(2):150-192; 6. Vanek VW, et al. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2014;29(6):841; 7. Klek S, et al. *Clin Nutr.* 2006;54(1):55-60);
 Stranski V, et al., *Autr Clin Pract.* 2012;27(2):150-192; 6. Vanek VW, et al. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2014;29(6):841; 7. Klek S, et al. *Clin Nutr.* 2006;54(1):55-60);
 Stranski V, et al., *Autr Clin Pract.* 2012;27(2):150-192; 6. Vanek VW, et al. *Clin Nutr.* 2006;28(4):387-400;

© Copyright Fresenius Kabi AG 4

## SMOFlipid Safety and Efficacy Select Adult Clinical Studies

Genton <sup>1</sup> ESPEN Abstract, 2004	Population/Duration/Dose PN >7 days n=32 (24 PP) Dose: 1 g/kg/day	Intervention/Results RCT: Total calories per indirect calorimetry or 20-30 kcal/kg/d from PN with SMOF vs. SO ILE Results: • No change in lipid profile • No change in glucose profile
Mertes <sup>2</sup> Ann Nutr Metabolism, 2006	Elective surgery PN X 5 days n=249 (199 PP) Dose: 1.5g/kg/d	No change in LF1s  RCT: Isocaloric, isonitrogenous PN w/ SMOF vs SO ILE Results:     Triglyceride levels in both groups equivalent (Mertes)
Grimm <sup>3*</sup> <i>Eur J Nutrition</i> , 2006	n=33 (subset) Dose: 1.5g/kg/d	Fatty acid patterns with SMOF vs. SO ILE (reflects FÅ provided)     Higher a-tocopherol levels with SMOF (Grimm)
Klek <sup>4</sup> <i>Clinical Nutrition</i> , 2013	Intestinal Failure on HPN X 4 weeks n=73 (62 PP) Dose: 1-2g/kg/d	RCT: SMOF vs SO ILE Results: • Less TG increase in SMOF group • a-tocopherol levels increased in SMOF but stabilized at 4 weeks • Lower AST, ALT, T. Bili in SMOF group at 4 wks vs. SO ILE (p<0.05) • Plasma and RBC fatty acid (FA) profiles reflect FA provided
PP=per protocol, SO= aminotransferase, ALI *Grimm subgroup ana 1. Genton L, et al. <i>Clin</i> 4. Klek S, et al. <i>Clin</i> N	soybean oil, SMOF=SMOFlipid, RCT=random T=alanine transaminase, T. Bili=total bilirubi alysis of Mertes N Nutr. 2004;23:793; 2. Mertes N, et al. Ani Nutr. 2013;32(2):224-231.	nized control trial, ILE=intravenous lipid emulsion, LFT=liver function test, AST=aspartate n, RBC=red blood cell, HPN=home parenteral nutrition n <i>Nutr Metab</i> 2006;S0(3):253-259; 3. Grimm H, et al. <i>Eur J Nutr</i> 2006;45:55-60;

**Liver Function Parameters** 4 Week Study - After 4 weeks, the mean concentrations of ALT, AST and total bilirubin were significantly lower in the Smoflipid group than the comparator group  $^{\rm 1}$ • ILE provided at 1.3 g/kg for both groups (n=73) Parameters of Liver Function at Baseline and at Week 4 SMOFlipid<sup>®</sup> Soybean Oil 50 50 50 40 40 40 30 30 30 mmol/l 5 5 20 20 20 10 10 10 0 0 0 Baseline Week 4 Baseline Week 4 Week 4 ALT AST **Total Bilirubin** Monitor liver function. If SMOFlipid-treated patients develop liver enzyme abnormalities, consider discontinuation or dose reduction. ALT=Alanine aminotransferase, AST=Aspartate aminotransfe \*Statistically significant difference between groups at week 4 (p<0.05) Chart adapted from Klek S.<sup>1</sup> 1. Klek S, et al. Four-week parenteral nutrition using a third generation lipid emulsion (SMOFlipid®): a double-blind, randomised, multicentre study in adults. *Clin Nutr.* 2013;32(2):224-231. © Copyright Fresenius Kabi AG 6















© Copyright Fresenius Kabi AG 13











<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>



Clinical Outcome	Effect	95% CI	p value
Mortality	OR 1.2	0.46 - 3.12	0.72
Hospital LOS	WMD -1.81	-2.89 to - 0.74	0.0009 (I <sup>2</sup> = 60%)
Hospital LOS (SO subgroup analysis)	WMD -2.7	-3.6 to -1.79	<0.00001
Post-op infections	OR 0.44	0.3 to 0.65	< 0.0001
Post-op infections (SO subgroup analysis)	OR 0.42	0.25 to 0.72	0.001
Overall effect on outcome	Conclusion s greatest with	: FO-containing I	LE vs. SO ILI





oy Pradelli, et a n the model ca costs in 4 coun	al. 2012 <sup>1,2</sup> and country-s alculation, fish oil-contair tries were offset by redu	pecific cost data <sup>3</sup> ning ILE treatment ction in hospital sta
and antibiotic c	osts	
Country	Cost Savings per Non-ICU Patient (\$)	Cost Savings per ICU Patient (\$)
France	2,051	5,584
📕 Germany	1,481	4,558
Italy	1,139	5,356
UK	684	5,470